# 1-(Arylalkyl)quinolizidine Derivatives and Thio-Isosteric Analogues as Ligands for Sigma Receptors

by Anna Sparatore\*a), Federica Novellib), and Fabio Sparatoreb)

a) Istituto di Chimica Farmaceutica, Università di Milano, Viale Abruzzi 42, I-20131 Milano
 (tel.: +390250317554; fax: +390250317565; e-mail: anna.sparatore@unimi.it)
 b) Dipartimento di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Università di Genova, Viale Benedetto XV 3, I-16132 Genova

A set of 1-(arylalkyl)quinolizidines, isosteric thioanalogues, and variously functionalized congeners were synthesized (see 1-25) and tested for affinity to sigma 1 and sigma 2 receptor subtypes, by displacing [ ${}^{3}$ H]- (+)-pentazocine and [ ${}^{3}$ H]DTG from guinea pig brain and rat brain preparations, respectively. All compounds exhibited a good affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype, with subnanomolar  $K_1$  values for the best of them, while only modest or poor affinity for the  $\sigma_2$  subtype was observed ( $Tables\ 1$  and 2). Some structure—activity relationships were put forward.

**Introduction.** – Sigma receptors occur in at least two classes of binding sites, namely  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , which are widely distributed in the central nerve system (CNS) and in several peripheral tissues [1][2] and also expressed in some human and rodent tumor cell lines [3][4]. The functional roles of the two receptor subtypes are being progressively defined, particularly in the pathogenesis of psychiatric and motor disorders, but also outside of the nervous system [5–7], which accounts for the large number of attempts to obtain selective sigma binding-site ligands. Many structurally unrelated compounds have been described as being able to bind to sigma receptors, but only few display high affinity and selectivity for the sigma receptor subtypes [8–13].

Recently we described two novel types of ligands for sigma receptors that correspond, respectively, to the general structures  $\mathbf{A}$ - $\boldsymbol{a}$  or  $\mathbf{A}$ - $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  ((1R, 9aR) or (1S, 9aR)-1-(2-arylethyl)octahydro-2H-quinolizines) and  $\mathbf{B}$  (1'-substituted-(spiro[1,2,4-benzotriazine-3(4H),4'-piperidines])) [14][15]. Many compounds of both types exhibited high affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  receptor subtype, with  $K_i$  in the low nm range, while the affinity for  $\sigma_2$  subtype of compounds so far tested was ten to more than 100 times lower. In type  $\mathbf{B}$  compounds, the lengthening of the aliphatic chain from one to four CH<sub>2</sub> units did not change significantly the affinity, which was, however, improved when five CH<sub>2</sub> units are present. On the other hand, in a set of N-(arylalkyl)piperidines [8][9][16], of which compounds of type  $\mathbf{A}$ - $\boldsymbol{a}$  or  $\mathbf{A}$ - $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  could be considered 'closed' analogues with an imposed conformation, the affinity and the selectivity for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype increased with the increasing distance between the aromatic ring and the basic N-atom.

Therefore, we deemed it worthwhile to investigate whether the latter structural feature would improve affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype also in type A- $\alpha$  and A- $\beta$  compounds in spite of the rigidity and bulkiness of the terminal quinolizine ring. Thus additional compounds whose aromatic and octahydro quinolizine (quinolizidine) nuclei are separated by one to four C- and/or S-atoms were prepared, taking into account the

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CH_2 & CH_2 & X \\ \hline \\ A-\alpha & A-\beta \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CH_2 & CH_2 & X \\ \hline \\ A-\beta & X \\ \hline \\ A-\beta & X \\ \hline \\ B & CH_2 - CH_2 - X \\ \hline \\ A-\beta & X \\ \hline \\ A-\beta & X \\ \hline \\ B & X \\ \hline \\ CH_2)_{II} & X \\ \hline \\ A & X \\ \\ A & X \\ \hline \\$$

well-known bioisosterism of a CH<sub>2</sub> unit to a S-atom. These compounds correspond to the general formulae  $\mathbf{C}$ - $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ - $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  (absolute configurations; 11 is racemic), which include also the previously described compounds of types  $\mathbf{A}$ - $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$ - $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , *i.e.*, compounds  $\mathbf{1}$ - $\mathbf{18}$ .

CH<sub>2</sub> — (S)
$$\frac{1}{m}$$
 (CH<sub>2</sub>) $\frac{1}{n}$  — X

C-α

C-β

1  $\frac{1}{m}$  =0,  $n$ =0, X=H

2  $\frac{1}{m}$  =0,  $n$ =1, X=H

3  $\frac{1}{m}$  =0,  $n$ =1, X=F

4  $\frac{1}{m}$  =0,  $n$ =2, X=H

5  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =0, X=F

7  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =1, X=H

8  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =2, X=H

10  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =2, X=H

11  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =2, X=H

12  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =0, X=F

13  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =0, X=F

14  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =0, X=F

15  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =0, X=F

17  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =1, X=H

18  $\frac{1}{m}$  =1,  $n$ =2, X=H

a) Previously described [14]. b) Racemate (only one stereoisomer is represented).

To further investigate the observed [14] negative influence of the presence of oxygenated functions on the affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  receptor and, more generally, to investigate the influence of the nature of the chain that links the quinolizidine and benzene moieties, a group of miscellaneous compounds (i.e., 19–23) was also tested. Compounds 20 [17] and 22 and 23 [18] were already described by us. Finally, the claimed [8][16] existence in the  $\sigma_1$  receptor of a bulk-tolerating region at a given distance from the proton-donor site was explored by testing the two previously described quinolizidine derivatives 24 [19] and 25 [20], bearing two aromatic moieties linked to the same C-atom, which is separated from the basic N-atom by three or four atoms.

a)-d) Previously described compounds: 20 [17], 22 and 23 [18], 24 [19], and 25 [20].

In this paper, the synthesis and the biological evaluation of compounds 1-25 are reported.

**Syntheses.** – For the preparation of compound  $C-\alpha$  with m=n=0, *i.e.*, of 1, the coupling of  $\omega$ -chlorolupinane (=(1R,9aR)-1-(chloromethyl)octahydro-2H-quinolizine) with PhMgBr was firstly assayed (*Scheme 1*), but the reaction gave only a modest yield of the expected (1S,9aR)-octahydro-1-(phenylmethyl)-2H-quinolizine. Probably due to steric hindrance, the rate of the coupling reaction was very low, and the competitive intra- and/or intermolecular quaternarization of chlorolupinane prevailed.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & CH_2-CI \\
 & H_2-CI \\
 & N
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & CH_2 \\
 & N
\end{array}$$

Therefore, another possibility was explored, although, unavoidably, a mixture of stereoisomers resulted: 1-quinolizidinone (octahydroquinolizin-1-one) was reacted with (4-fluorobenzyl)magnesium chloride, followed by dehydration of the formed tertiary alcohol and reduction of the unsaturated compound (*Scheme 2*: only one of the

possible stereoisomers is represented). Quinolizidin-1-one exists predominantly (90%) in the *trans* fused chair-chair conformation [21] and reacts with *Grignard* reagents to give mixtures of epimeric alcohols. In the reaction with arylmagnesium bromides, the axial alcohols largely prevail [22][23], while, with MeMgI, the ratio of epimeric alcohols is inverted [24]. In our reaction of quinolizidin-1-one with (4-fluorobenzyl)-magnesium chloride, only a single racemate was isolated, whose sturdy resistance to dehydration suggests it to be the equatorial alcohol **26**. However, by reacting this alcohol with  $P_2O_5$  in phosphoric acid at  $165^\circ$ , an unsolitary (by TLC) unsaturated compound **27** was finally obtained. (¹H-NMR:  $\delta$  3.3 (s, 1.8 H, CH<sub>2</sub> between Ar and C=C); 5.38-5.47 (m, 0.2 H, olefinic H), suggesting that, in the dehydration, the angular H-atom was preferentially eliminated to form the enamine **27**.

The presence of a tetrasubstituted C=C bond in **27** accounts for the very slow absorption of 1 mol of  $H_2$  in the presence of 10% Pd/C, giving, apparently, a single saturated compound (by TLC and NMR). The protons of the quinolizidinylmethylene moiety of this saturated compound give rise, in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum, to a sequence of *multiplets* between  $\delta$  0.80 and 3.10, whose overall outline is quite similar to that which characterizes several *epi*-lupinane derivatives, thus suggesting that the 4-fluorobenzyl residue is linked equatorially to the quinolizidine nucleus. Therefore, the compound obtained is tentatively assigned as the racemate of (1RS,9aSR)-1-[(4-fluorophenyl)-methyl]-octahydro-2*H*-quinolizine (**11**).

To obtain the (1R,9aR)-octahydro-1-(3-phenylpropyl)-2H-quinolizine (4), the cross-coupling between chlorolupinane and PhEtMgBr was attempted, but it failed completely even in the presence of the Cu<sup>I</sup> catalyst suggested by *Tamura et al.* [25] [26].

On the contrary, good results were obtained when the BnMgCl was reacted with  $\omega$ -cyanolupinane (=(1S,9aR)-octahydro-2H-quinolizine-1-acetonitrile), and the resulting ketone **19** was reduced with hydrazine under Huang-Minlon conditions [27] ( $Scheme\ 3$ ). To avoid the drastic conditions required by this reaction, the reduction of the corresponding ketone tosylhydrazone with NaBH<sub>4</sub> suggested by Caglioti [28] was initially attempted, but only the corresponding alcohol (as mixture of diastereoisomers) was obtained.

### Scheme 3

S-Aryl- or S-(arylalkyl)thiolupinines (5-9) and -epithiolupinines (14-18) [(1R,9aR)- and (1S,9aR)-1-[(arylthio)methyl]- or  $(1-\{[(\omega-arylalkyl)$ thio]methyl]-octahydro-2H-quinolizines], respectively, were obtained, without any particular difficulty, by reacting  $\omega$ -chlorolupinane or  $\omega$ -chloroepilupinane with benzene- and 4-fluorobenzenethiol, benzene- and 4-chlorobenzenemethanethiol, and benzeneethanethiol (Scheme~4). Due to higher steric hindrance, the reaction with the axial chlorolupinane was sluggish and gave the expected sulfides 5-9 in lower yields than the reaction with the equatorial  $\omega$ -chloroepilupinane ( $\to 14-18$ ).

S-(4-Fluorophenethyl)thiolupinine (=(1R,9aR)-1-({[2-(4-fluorophenyl)ethyl]thio}-methyl)octahydro-2H-quinolizine; **10**) was of particular interest for comparing its affinity for  $\sigma$  receptors with that of the previously described S-(4-fluorophenacyl)thiolupinine (=1-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-{[(1R,9aR)-(octahydro-2H-quinolizin-1-yl)-methyl]thio}-ethanone; **20**) [14][17]. Since the 4-fluorophenethyl bromide with thiolupinine (=(1R,9aR)-octahydro-2H-quinolizine-1-methanethiol) [17] (Scheme S). Finally, 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-({(1R,9aR)-octahydro-2H-quinolizine-1-yl]methyl}thio)-ethanol was prepared by reduction of S-(4-fluorophenacyl)thiolupinine (**20**) with

### Scheme 5

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_2\text{-SH} \\ + \text{Br-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2 \\ + \text{Br-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2 \\ - \text{F} \\ \end{array}$$

NaBH<sub>4</sub>; the alcohol **21** was obtained as a mixture of diastereoisomers. No attempts to resolve the mixture were made, and it was tested as such (*Scheme 5*).

**Biological Evaluation and Discussion.** – Novel and previously described compounds **1**–**25** were tested *in vitro* to evaluate their affinities for the  $\sigma_1$  receptor subtypes through the displacement of [ ${}^{3}$ H]- (+)-pentazocine from guinea pig brain preparations. Selected compounds were also assayed for affinity to  $\sigma_2$ , 5 HT<sub>2A</sub>, and D<sub>2</sub> receptor subtypes by displacing [ ${}^{3}$ H]DTG, [ ${}^{3}$ H]ketanserin and [ ${}^{3}$ H]nemonapride, respectively, from rat brain ( $\sigma_2$ , 5 HT<sub>2A</sub>), and rat striatum (D<sub>2</sub>) preparations.

Results of binding assays for compounds 1-18 to  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  receptor subtypes are collected in *Table 1*, while results concerning compounds 19-25 are collected in *Table 2*. The reported data show that all compounds exhibit good affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  receptor subtype, with subnanomolar  $K_i$  values for the best of them. On the contrary, a clear trend for modest or poor affinity to the  $\sigma_2$  subtype was observed, although only representative compounds were assayed in this case.

Affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype increased with the increasing distance between the benzene ring and the quinolizidine moieties, however, also the nature of the connecting chain influenced the increase.

Indeed, while the expected bioisosterism between a S-atom and a CH<sub>2</sub> group was, in principle, confirmed, the actual bioequivalence of these groups is lower when the S-atom is directly linked to the benzene ring as in compounds **5**, **6**, **14**, and **15**. Moreover, the introduction of an oxygenated function (hydroxy or oxo) at the aliphatic chain produced a decrease in affinity (compare compounds **4** and **10** with **19**, **20**, and **21**), but to a lesser extent than previously observed for compounds of structure **A** [14]. Thus, the negative effect of the presence of an oxygenated function seems to be largely counterbalanced by the positive effect of the elongation of the aliphatic chain. Similar

18

Ratio  $K_i \sigma_2/K_i \sigma_1$ Ligand  $K_i^a$ ) [nM]  $\sigma_1$  $\sigma_2$ (1R)-Substituted quinolizidines 35.2°) 2<sup>b</sup>)  $38.0^{d}$ ) 300g) 7.9 3<sup>b</sup>)  $6.6^{e}$ ) 220g) 33.3 4  $4.2^{c}$ ) 5  $89.0^{f}$ )  $13.2^{c}$ ) 463<sup>h</sup>) 35.1 7  $3.2^{\rm f}$ 186<sup>h</sup>) 186.0 8  $1.0^{\rm f}$  $0.63^{\circ}$ 155.7h) 247.1 147<sup>h</sup>) 10  $0.63^{f}$ ) 233.3 (1S)-Substituted quinolizidines  $11.0^{c}$ )  $(\pm)-11$ 405h) 12<sup>b</sup>)  $6.5^{\rm d}$ ) 114.9 13b)  $3.7^{e}$ ) 1297i) 350.5  $10.0^{\circ}$ 14 15 578h) 131.4 4.4c)  $0.52^{\,\mathrm{f}}$ 16 **17**  $0.23^{f}$ 233.6h) 1015.6

Table 1. Binding Affinities of 1-18 for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  Receptor Subtypes

138.4<sup>h</sup>)

364.2

 $0.38^{f}$ )

considerations apply also to the 1-lupinine esters **22** and **23** (4-chlorophenoxy- and 4-chlorophenylthioacetate, resp.), which still exhibited  $K_i$  of 37 and 24 nm, respectively. Thus, the intercalation of an ester function might represent an easy way to increase the distance between the quinolizidine and benzene moieties, overcoming the difficulties of synthesizing long-chain arylalkyl quinolizidines.

It is worth noting that compounds somewhat similar to 22, and 23, such as 2-(4-chlorophenoxy- or chlorophenylthio)propanoic and -butanoic acid esters of tropanol, (=(3-endo)-8-methyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-ol) have been recently found [29][30] to exhibit rather poor affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  receptor subtype. Such differences in affinity might be due to the different bicyclic aminoalcohol, or possibly to the branching of the aliphatic chain in the relevant esters.

All compounds bearing the arylalkyl chain in the  $\beta$ -position at C(1) of the quinolizidine ring displayed higher affinities than those bearing the same chain in the  $\alpha$ -position, as already observed for the  $1\beta$ -(arylethyl)quinolizidine derivatives **A-\beta** [14]. However, the difference in affinity in each couple of epimers decreased with the increasing length of the chain. The introduction of F or Cl at the *para*-position of the phenyl ring strongly increased the affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  receptor subtype, but also this effect was quenched by the increasing length of the aliphatic chain; thus, compounds 9 and 10 exhibited the same  $K_1$  value (0.63 nm).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>) Means of duplicate experiments: each value differed from the mean by less than 10%. <sup>b</sup>) Previously published data [14], included for comparison.  $K_i$  (nm) of reference ligand haloperidol used in  $\sigma_1$  experiments: <sup>c</sup>) 4.4. <sup>d</sup>) 3.64. <sup>e</sup>) 2.01. <sup>f</sup>) 1.45.  $K_i$  (nm) of reference ligand haloperidol used in  $\sigma_2$  experiments: <sup>g</sup>) 91.0. <sup>h</sup>) 78.7. <sup>i</sup>) 88.2.

Table 2. Binding Affinities of 19-25 for the  $\sigma_1$ Receptor Subtype

Ligand	$K_{i}^{a}$ ) (nm)
19	10.2 <sup>b</sup> )
20	9.0°)
21	9.2 <sup>b</sup> )
22	36.9 <sup>b</sup> )
23	24.2 <sup>b</sup> )
24	49°)
25	6.4 <sup>b</sup> )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>) Mean of duplicate experiments: each value differed from the mean by less than 10%. <sup>b</sup>)  $K_i$  (nm) of reference ligand haloperidol 1.45. <sup>c</sup>)  $K_i$  (nm) of reference ligand ifenprodil 1.4 (see [14]).

The affinity-enhancing effect of the mentioned structural features (length of chain, equatorial position of the substituent at the quinolizidine moiety, presence of a halogen atom on the aromatic ring) showed additive character; when (1R,9aR)-octahydro-1-[(phenylthio)methyl]-2H-quinolizine ( $\mathbf{5}$ ;  $K_i = 89 \text{ nm}$ ) and (1S,9aR)-octahydro-1-({[4-chlorophenyl)methyl]thio}methyl)-2H-quinolizine ( $\mathbf{17}$ ;  $K_i = 0.23 \text{ nm}$ ) are compared, a 387-fold increase in affinity is observed.

Finally, for compounds **24** and **25**, each bearing two fluorophenyl residues, a high affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype was still observed, albeit lower than that of the related compounds **3** and **7**, that each bear only a single halobenzene moiety. The increasing distance between the basic N-atom and the aromatic part of the molecule produces a significant increase in affinity also in these compounds, which exhibit a  $K_i$  of 49 and 6.4 nm, respectively.

Taken together, these results support further the  $\sigma_1$ -receptor pharmacophore model proposed by *Glennon* and co-workers [8][9][31], which consists of a primary hydrophobic binding site situated 6-10 Å from the basic N-atom binding site, with a secondary binding site, associated with a region of bulk tolerance, situated 2.5-3.9 Å from the amine site. Thus, the aromatic part of our ligands 1-23 could interact with either the primary or secondary hydrophobic site of the receptor, depending on the effective distance from the basic N-atom, taking into account the possible folding of the aliphatic chain. On the other hand, the two 4-fluorophenyl rings of compounds 24 and 25 should better accommodate in the secondary hydrophobic bulk-tolerating region.

Concerning the affinity for the  $\sigma_2$  receptor subtype, compounds tested so far exhibit  $K_i$  values that were one to more than two orders of magnitude lower than those found for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype. Moreover, the above structural features, which improved the affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype, particularly the equatorial position of the substituent at the quinolizidine moiety and the presence of a halogen atom, did not play an identical role in the case of the  $\sigma_2$  subtype.

Compound 17, which displays the highest affinity for the  $\sigma_1$  subtype and the highest selectivity vs. the  $\sigma_2$  subtype, was also tested for affinity to serotonin 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> and dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptor subtypes that are implicated, though in different measures, in the

activity of conventional and atypical neuroleptic drugs. As was previously observed for compounds **12** and **13** [14], in this case only modest affinity for 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> ( $K_i$  = 183 nm) was found, while affinity for the D<sub>2</sub> subtype was once more very poor, with only 40% inhibition of [ $^3$ H]nemonapride binding at a 10  $\mu$ M concentration.

**Conclusions.** – Several functions of  $\sigma_1$  receptors have been progressively uncovered, but the interest in selective ligands for this receptor subtype is now addressed mainly because of their neuroprotective and anti-amnesic potentials in aging-related pathologies [5]. Recently, 4-phenyl-1-(4-phenylbutyl)piperidine was found to afford neuroprotection in experimental stroke, through attenuation of neuronal nitric oxide synthase activity and ischemia-evoked NO production [32].

The (arylalkyl)quinolizidines, their isosteric thioanalogs, and the variously functionalized congeners presently considered (1–25) behave as good ligands for the  $\sigma_1$  receptor subtype with subnanomolar binding constants for the best compounds, while they display a manifold lower, or definitely poor, affinity for  $\sigma_2$ , 5 HT<sub>2A</sub>, and D<sub>2</sub> receptor subtypes. Therefore, this class of quinolizidine derivatives deserves further investigation toward development of still more potent and selective compounds.

The proper length of the benzene-quinolizidine-connecting aliphatic chain represents an important structural feature that defines a good ligand. On the other hand, the demonstrated good affinity of thio ethers and esters permit facile construction of new sets of quinolizidine derivatives with aliphatic chains of variable length and nature, overcoming the difficulties of synthesizing long chain (arylalkyl)quinolizidines. In this manner, a larger molecular diversity can also be introduced in the new ligands, which will be useful for studying the receptor requirements around the central proton donor site

The financial support from the Italian 'Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e Ricerca' (MIUR) is gratefully acknowledged.

## **Experimental Part**

General. All commercially available solvents and reagents were used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. CC = column chromatography. M.p.s:  $B\ddot{u}chi$  apparatus; uncorrected. IR Spectra:  $Perkin-Elmer\ Paragon-1000-PC$  spectrophotometer; KBr pellets for solid, and neat for liquid;  $\ddot{v}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR Spectra:  $Varian\ Gemini-200$  spectrometer; CDCl<sub>3</sub> with Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard;  $\delta$  in ppm, J in Hz; Q = octahydroquinolizine ring. Elemental analyses were performed on a  $Carlo-Erba\ EA-1110\ CHNS-O$  instrument in the Microanalysis Laboratory of the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Genoa University.

(1S,9aR)-Octahydro-1-(phenylmethyl)-2H-quinolizine (1). To a soln. of ω-chlorolupinane [33] (1.69 g, 9 mmol) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 ml), 3M phenylmagnesium bromide soln. in Et<sub>2</sub>O (*Aldrich*; 5 ml, 15 mmol) was added, and the soln. was heated under reflux for 17 h. After cooling, 1N HCl (25 ml) was added dropwise, and the acid soln. was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The aq. phase was alkalinized with 2N NaOH and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. After evaporation, the residue was distilled at 115–120° (air-bath temp.)/0.05 Torr: 1 (0.28 g, 13.6%). Oil which solidified on standing. M.p. 30–33°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.10–2.20 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.60–2.80 (m, 1 H, ArCH<sub>2</sub>Q); 2.80–3.00 (m, 1 H of ArCH<sub>2</sub>Q, 2 H $_a$  near N of Q); 7.10–7.40 (m, 5 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N: C 83.78, H 10.11, N 6.11; found: C 83.55, H 10.26, N 6.10.

( $\pm$ )-(1RS,9aRS)-1-[(4-Fluorophenyl)methyl]octahydro-2H-quinolizin-1-ol (**26**). A soln. of freshly distilled 4-fluorobenzyl chloride (1.5 g, 10.4 mmol) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 ml) was added dropwise to 0.25 g (10 mmol) of Mgturnings covered with dry Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the mixture was refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> until all Mg was dissolved. A soln. of octahydro-2H-quinolizin-1-one [34] (1.6 g, 10 mmol) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml) was added, and the soln. was refluxed for 18 h. The soln. was extracted with 0.1n HCl, and the acid soln. was alkalinized and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. After

evaporation, the oily residue (2.43 g) was purified by CC (neutral alumina (1:15), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> containing 0.5% ( $\nu/\nu$ ) of MeOH): **26** (2.08 g, 78.6%). Oil that soon solidified. Repeated crystallization from hexane gave 1.12 g (42.4%) of **26**. M.p. 80 – 81°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.00 – 2.20 (m, 13 H, Q); 2.45 (dd, J = 14, 1 H, ArC $H_2$ ); 2.60 – 2.80 (dm, 1 H, ArC $H_2$ ); 2.80 – 3.05 (m, 2 H<sub>eq</sub> near N of Q, OH collapsing with D<sub>2</sub>O); 6.90 – 7.05 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.10 – 7.25 (m, 2 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FNO: C 72.97, H 8.42, N 5.32; found: C 73.27, H 8.32, N 5.41.

1-[(4-Fluorophenyl)methyl]-3,4,6,7,8,9-hexahydro-2H-quinolizine (27). Phosphorous pentoxide (1.5 g) was added to a soln. of 26 (1.1 g, 4.2 mmol) in polyphosphoric acid (PPA; 10 g). The mixture was heated to 165° and stirred at 165° for 1 h. After cooling, H<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml) was added, and the mixture neutralized with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and alkalinized to pH 10 by 6N NaOH. By extraction with Et<sub>2</sub>O, impure 27 (0.93 g, 90%) was obtained. Light brownish oil. TLC (alumina, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): very minor spot just behind the main spot. IR (neat): no OH band.  $^1$ H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.00 – 3.10 (m, 14 H, Q); 3.30 (s, 1.8 H, ArCH<sub>2</sub>C=C); 5.38 – 5.47 (m, 0.2 H, >C=CH); 6.80 – 7.20 (m, 4 arom. H).

( $\pm$ )-(1RS,9aSR)-1-[(4-Fluorophenyl)methyl]octahydro-2H-quinolizine (11). Compound 27 (0.90 g) was dissolved in EtOH (20 ml) and hydrogenated at r.t. and atmospheric pressure over 10% Pd/C (0.5 g) until the absorption of H<sub>2</sub> ceased. Catalyst and solvent were removed, and the residue was purified twice by CC (neutral alumina (1:20), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) pure (TLC) 11 (0.57 g, 62.8%). Colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.80 – 2.20 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.60 – 3.10 (m, therein dd, J = 14, 11, 4 H, 2 H<sub>eq</sub>. near N of Q, ArCH<sub>2</sub>Q); 6.85 – 7.20 (m, 4 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FN: C 77.69, H 8.96, N 5.66; found: C 77.41, H 9.20, N 5.54.

1-[(18,9aR)-Octahydro-2H-quinolizin-1-yl]-3-phenylpropan-2-one (19). This ketone was first described in [35]. A soln. of freshly distilled ω-cyanolupinane [36] (1.78 g, 10 mmol) in a few ml of dry Et<sub>2</sub>O was added to 1M benzylmagnesium chloride soln. in Et<sub>2</sub>O (*Aldrich*; 18 ml, 18 mmol), previously diluted with dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml). The mixture was heated under reflux for 11 h and then, under ice cooling, 1N HCl (38 ml) was added, and the acid soln. was further washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The aq. phase was alkalinized with 30% KOH soln. and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, the extract evaporated, and the residue crystallized from petroleum ether: 19 (2.13 g, 78.6%). M.p. 73–74°. IR (KBr): 1690 (C=O). Anal. calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO: C 79.66, H 9.29, N 5.16; found: C 79.44, H 9.35, N 5.37.

(1R,9aR)-Octahydro-1-(3-phenylpropyl)-2H-quinolizine (4). Na (0.19 g, 8.26 mmol) was dissolved in diethylene glycol (6 ml), hydrazine monohydrate (0.39 ml, 7.7 mmol) and **19** (0.75 g, 2.76 mmol) were added in this order, and the mixture was heated at  $205-210^{\circ}$  for 4 h. After cooling, H<sub>2</sub>O was added, the soln. extracted with toluene, the org. phase washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated, and the residue bulb-to-bulb distilled at  $130-145^{\circ}$  (air bath temp/0.06 Torr): **4** (0.57 g, 80%). Colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.15-2.05 (m, 16 H of Q, CH<sub>2</sub>Q); 2.50-2.65 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); 2.72-2.88 (m, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 7.10-7.35 (m, 5 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N: C 83.99, H 10.57, N 5.44; found: C 84.05, H 10.60, N 5.70.

*Hydrochloride* **4**·HCl: M.p. 149–151°. Anal. calc. for  $C_{18}H_{27}N \cdot HCl \cdot 0.25 H_2O$ : C 72.45, H 9.63, N 4.69; found: C 72.66, H 9.82, N 4.87.

(1S,9aR)-Octahydro-α-(phenylmethyl)-2H-quinolizine-1-ethanol (28). Tosylhydrazide (=4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid hydrazide; 0.616 g, 3.24 mmol) was added to a soln. of 19 (0.447 g, 1.65 mmol) in MeOH (34 ml), which was heated under reflux for 3.5 h. NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.625 g; 16.2 mmol) was added to the ice-cooled soln., which was subsequently refluxed for 3 h. The solvent was evaporated, the residue taken up in H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, the org. phase evaporated and the residue distilled at 177° (air-bath temp./0.06 Torr) diastereoisomer mixture 28 (0.36 g, 80%). Oil. IR (neat): 3390 (OH), no C=O band. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.15 –2.10 (m, 16 H of Q ring QCH<sub>2</sub>); 2.58 – 2.74 (m, 1 H, ArCH<sub>2</sub>); 2.75 – 2.92 (m, 1 H of ArCH<sub>2</sub>, 2 H<sub>a</sub> near N of Q); 3.80 – 3.95, 4.00 – 4.15 (2m, CHOH); 6.40 (br. s, OH, collapsing with D<sub>2</sub>O); 7.10 – 7.38 (m, 5 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO: C 79.07, H 9.95, N 5.12; found: C 79.17, H 10.05, N 5.30.

(1R,9aR)- and (1S,9aR)-1-[(Arylthio)methyl]- or 1-[[( $\omega$ -Arylalkyl)thio]methyl]-Octahydro-2H-quinolizines 5-9 and 14-18, resp.: General Method. To a soln. of 4-substituted benzenethiol or 4-substituted benzene alkanethiol (6 mmol) in abs. EtOH (3-6 ml), ground NaOH (6 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux under N<sub>2</sub>. After a clear soln. was obtained,  $\omega$ -chlorolupinane [33] or  $\omega$ -chloroepilupinane [37] (1.13 g, 6 mmol) was added, and the soln. was further refluxed for 6 h under N<sub>2</sub>. To obtain 18,  $\omega$ -bromoepilupinane [38] was used. The mixture was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O, acidified with 1M HCl to pH 2, and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O to remove the unreacted thiol. The aq. soln. was then strongly alkalinized with 4M NaOH and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, the extract evaporated, and the residue distilled at 0.04-0.06 Torr. At  $80-90^{\circ}$  (air-bath temp.), the unreacted halo compound was removed, while the target compound distilled at  $140-170^{\circ}$ . In the case of 15 and 17, after removing the chloroepilupinane, the residues were crystallized from petroleum ether and dry Et<sub>2</sub>O, respectively.

(IR,9aR)-Octahydro-1-[(phenylthio)methyl]-2H-quinolizine (5): Yield 68%. M.p. 23-24.5°. B.p. 139-147°/0.04 Torr. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.10-2.15 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.75-2.95 (m, 2 H<sub>a</sub> near N of Q); 3.07 (dd,

J = 12.64, 9.88, 1 H, QCH<sub>2</sub>SPh); 3.25 (dd, J = 12.64, 4.32, 1 H QCH<sub>2</sub>SPh); 7.10 – 7.45 (m, 5 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NS: C 73.53, H 8.87, N 5.36, S 12.24; found: C 73.65, H 8.80, N 5.50, S 11.90.

(1R,9aR)-1-{[(4-Fluorophenyl)thio]methyl]octahydro-2H-quinolizine (6): Yield 40%. Oil that solidified on standing. B.p. 148–152°/0.05 Torr. ¹H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.10–2.15 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.75–2.95 (m, 2 H $_a$  near N of Q); 3.03 (dd, J = 12.64, 9.88, 1 H, QCH $_2$ SAr); 3.18 (dd, J = 12.64, 4.32, 1 H, QCH $_2$ SAr); 6.90–7.10, 7.25–7.45 (2m, each 2 arom. H (p-subst.)). Anal. calc. for C $_{16}$ H $_{22}$ FNS: C 68.77, H 7.94, N 5.01, S 11.48; found: C 68.51, H 7.90, N 5.03, S 11.11.

(IR,9aR)-Octahydro-1-[[(phenylmethyl)thio]methyl]-2H-quinolizine (7): Yield 70%. Oil. B.p. 145 –152°/0.05 Torr. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.10 – 2.10 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.55 – 2.85 (m, 2 H $_a$  near N of Q, QC $H_2$ S); 3.7 (s, PhC $H_2$ S); 7.15 – 7.40 (m, 5 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C $_{17}$ H $_{25}$ NS: C 74.14, H 9.15, N 5.09, S 11.62; found: C 74.36, H 9.05, N 5.20, S 11.31.

(1R,9aR)-1-{[[(4-Chlorophenyl)methyl]thio}methyl}octahydro-2H-quinolizine (8): Yield 72%. M.p. 29–30°. B.p. 168-171°/0.09 Torr.  $^1$ H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.05-2.00 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.50-2.83 (m, 2 H $_a$  near N of Q, CH<sub>2</sub>S); 3.60 (s, PhCH<sub>2</sub>S); 7.15-7.35 (m, 4 arom. H (p-subst.)). Anal. calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>ClNS: C 65.88, H 7.81, N 4.52, S 10.35; found: C 65.92, H 7.73, N 4.46, S 10.48.

(1R,9aR)-Octahydro-1-{[(2-phenylethyl)thio]methyl]-2H-quinolizine (9): Yield 33%. Oil. B.p.  $160-167^{\circ}$ / 0.05 Torr. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.18-2.05 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.65-2.94 (m,  $CH_2CH_2SCH_2Q$ , 2 H $_a$  near N of Q); 7.18-7.35 (m, 5 arom. H).

*Hydrochloride*  $9 \cdot \text{HCl}$ : M.p.  $113 - 114^{\circ}$ . Anal. calc. for  $C_{18}H_{27}NS \cdot \text{HCl}$ : C 66.33, H 8.66, N 4.30, S 9.84; found: C 66.15, H 8.74, N 4.29, S 9.51.

 $(18,9a\text{R}) - Octahydro - 1 - [(phenylthio)methyl] - 2\text{H}-quinolizine } \ (14) : \text{Yield } 94\%. \text{ M.p. } 59-60.5^{\circ}. \text{ B.p. } 130-139^{\circ}/0.05 \text{ Torr.} \ ^{1}\text{H}-\text{NMR} \ (\text{CDCl}_{3}) : 1.00-2.15 \ (m,14\text{ H, Q}) : 2.70-2.90 \ (m,\text{therein } dd \text{ at } 2.78, J=12.48, 7.63, 2\text{ H}_a \text{ near N of Q, 1 H of QCH}_2\text{S}) : 3.16 \ (dd,J=12.48,2.95,1\text{ H, QCH}_2\text{S}) : 7.10-7.40 \ (m,5\text{ arom. H}). \text{ Anal. calc. for } \text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{23}\text{NS: C } 73.53, \text{ H } 8.87, \text{ N } 5.36, \text{ S } 12.24 : \text{ found: C } 73.60, \text{ H } 8.90, \text{ N } 5.55, \text{ S } 11.80.$ 

(18.9aR)-1-[[(4-Fluorophenyl)thio]methyl]octahydro-2H-quinolizine (15): Yield 93%. M.p. 63-63.5°. ¹H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.95-2.20 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.60-2.90 (m, therein dd at 2.71, J = 12.48, 7.63, 2 H $_a$  near N of Q, 1 H of QC $H_2$ SAr); 3.08 (dd, J = 12.48, 2.95, 1 H, QC $H_2$ SAr); 6.90-7.10, 7.25-7.45 (2m, each 2 arom. H (p-subst.)). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FNS: C 68.77, H 7.94, N 5.01, S 11.48; found: C 68.84, H 7.96, N 5.04, S 10.98.

(1S,9aR)-Octahydro-1-[[(phenylmethyl)thio]methyl]-2H-quinolizine (16): Yield 92%. M.p. 44.5 – 45°. B.p. 152 – 161°/0.04 Torr.  $^{1}$ H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.90 – 2.15 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.27 (dd, J = 12.6, 7.6, 1 H, QCH<sub>2</sub>S); 2.59 (dd, J = 12.6, 3.2, 1 H, QCH<sub>2</sub>S); 2.70 – 2.90 (m, 2 H $_a$  near N of Q); 3.68 (s, PhCH<sub>2</sub>S); 7.20 – 7.45 (m, 5 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NS: C 74.14, H 9.15, N 5.09, S 11.62; found: C 73.98, H 9.30, N 5.07, S 11.80.

 $(18,9a\text{R}) - Octahydro - 1 - \{[(2-phenylethyl)thio]methyl] - 2\text{H-}quinolizine } \ (18): \text{Yield } 90\%. \text{ M.p. } 45 - 46^{\circ}. \text{ B.p. } 160 - 165^{\circ}/0.05 \text{ Torr.} \ ^1\text{H-NMR } (\text{CDCl}_3): 1.05 - 2.10 \ (m, 14 \text{ H, Q}); 2.40 \ (dd, J = 12.6, 7.6, 1 \text{ H, QC} H_2\text{S}); 2.66 - 2.96 \ (m, 7 \text{ H, ArC} H_2\text{CH}_2\text{S}, 1 \text{ H of QC} H_2\text{S}, 2 \text{ H}_a \text{ near N of Q}); 7.16 - 7.34 \ (m, 5 \text{ arom. H}). \text{ Anal. calc. for C}_{18}\text{H}_{27}\text{NS}: \text{C } 74.68, \text{H } 9.40, \text{N } 4.84, \text{S } 11.08; \text{ found: C } 74.50, \text{H } 9.45, \text{N } 4.95, \text{S } 10.98.$ 

(1R,9aR)-1- $[[[2-(4-Fluorophenyl)ethyl]thio]methyl]octahydro-2H-quinolizine (10). A mixture of 4-fluorobenzeneethanol (2 g) and 48% hydrobromic acid (18 ml) was heated under reflux for 3 h. After cooling, <math>H_2O$  was added, and the soln. was extracted with  $E_2O$ . The org. phase was shaken with 10% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln., then with  $H_2O$ , dried, and evaporated. The 4-fluorophenethyl bromide was distilled (120°/10 Torr).

A soln. of 4-fluorophenethyl bromide (1.41 g, 7 mmol) in DMF (3 ml) was introduced into an *Aldrich* pressure tube flushed with  $N_2$ ; freshly distilled thiolupinine [17] (1.29 g, 7 mmol) was added and the sealed tube heated at 140° for 18 h. DMF was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in  $CH_2CI_2$  and treated with 0.5N HCl to remove the unreacted thiolupinine, while the title compound's hydrohalides remained in the org. phase. The solvent was evaporated, the residue dissolved in 0.5N HCl, and the acid soln. washed with  $EI_2O$ . The aq. phase was alkalinized and extracted with  $EI_2O$ , the extract evaporated, and the residue distilled at  $160^\circ$  (air-bath temp.)/0.01 Torr: 10 (1.13 g, 52.6%). Oil.  $^1H$ -NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.05-2.15 (m, 14 H, Q); 2.60-3.00 (m, 8 H,  $CH_2CH_2SCH_2Q$ , 2  $H_\alpha$  near N of Q); 6.90-7.10, 7.10-7.25 (2m, each 2 arom. H (p-subst)). Anal. calc. for  $CI_3H_2$ 6FNS: C 70.32, H 8.52, N 4.56, S 10.43; found: C 70.52, H 8.90, N 4.53, S 10.08.

4-Fluoro- $\alpha$ -{{[[(IR,9aR)-octahydro-2H-quinolizin-1-yl]methyl]thio]methyl]benzenemethanol (21). To a soln. of S-(4-fluorophenacyl)thiolupinine [17] (0.3 g, 0.93 mmol) in EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 8:2 (10 ml), NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.045 g) was added and the soln. heated under reflux for 3 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was taken up in

 $H_2O$  and filtered. The collected product was dried (0.25 g) and crystallized from pentane: diastereoisomer mixture **21** (0.16 g, 53.3%). M.p. 64–77°. Anal. calc. for  $C_{18}H_{26}FNOS$ : C 66.84, H 8.10, N 4.33, S 9.91; found: C 66.74, H 8.25, N 4.38, S 9.70.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abou-Gharbia, S. Y. Ablordeppey, R. A. Glennon, Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1993, 28, 1.
- [2] S. D. Wyrick, R. G. Booth, Drugs Future 1995, 20, 1033.
- [3] B. J. Vilner, C. S. John, W. D. Bowen, Cancer Res. 1995, 55, 408.
- [4] R. H. Mach, C. R. Smith, J. Al-Nabulsi, B. R. Whirrett, S. R. Childers, K. T. Wheeler, *Cancer Res.* 1997, 57, 156
- [5] T. Maurice, B. P. Lockhart, Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiat. 1997, 21, 69.
- [6] W. D. Bowen, Pharm. Acta Helv. 2000, 74, 211.
- [7] K. W. Crawford, W. D. Bowen, Cancer Res. 2002, 62, 313.
- [8] R. A. Glennon, S. Y. Ablordeppey, A. M. Ismaill, M. B. El-Ashmawy, J. B. Fisher, K. B. Howie, J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 1214.
- [9] S. Y. Ablordeppey, J. B. Fisher, R. A. Glennon, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2000, 8, 2105.
- [10] W. Quaglia, M. Giannella, A. Piergentili, M. Pigini, L. Brasili, R. Di Toro, L. Rossetti, S. Spampinato, C. Melchiorre, J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 1557.
- [11] F. Berardi, S. Santoro, P. Perrone, V. Tortorella, S. Govoni, L. Lucchi, J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 3940.
- [12] A. Marrazzo, O. Prezzavento, L. Pasquinucci, F. Vittorio, G. Ronsisvalle, Farmaco 2001, 56, 181.
- [13] R. H. Mach, B. Yang, L. Wu, R. J. Kuhner, B. R. Whirrett, T. West, Med. Chem. Res. 2001, 10, 339.
- [14] A. Sparatore, F. Novelli, F. Sparatore, Med. Chem. Res. 2002, 11, 1.
- [15] F. Novelli, F. Sparatore, Farmaco 2002, 57, 871.
- [16] S. Y. Ablordeppey, J. B. Fisher, K. J. Burke Howie, R. A. Glennon, Med. Chem. Res. 1992, 2, 368.
- [17] F. Novelli, F. Sparatore, Farmaco 1993, 48, 1021.
- [18] A. Sparatore, F. Sparatore, Farmaco 2001, 56, 169.
- [19] I. Vazzana, F. Novelli, F. Sparatore, A. Sparatore, G. Fadda, C. Manca, Farmaco 1994, 49, 105.
- [20] F. Novelli, B. Tasso, F. Sparatore, Farmaco 1999, 54, 354.
- [21] S. F. Mason, K. Schofield, R. J. Wells, Proc. Chem. Soc. 1963, 337.
- [22] J. D. England, J. Sam, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1966, 3, 482.
- [23] D. L. Temple, J. Sam, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1970, 7, 847.
- [24] D. L. Temple, J. Sam, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1968, 5, 441.
- [25] M. Tamura, J. Kochi, Synthesis 1971, 303.
- [26] M. Tamura, J. Kochi, J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 31, 289.
- [27] H. Minlon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 7487.
- [28] L. Caglioti, Tetrahedron 1966, 22, 487.
- [29] R. H. Mach, L. Wu, T. West, B. R. Whirrett, S. R. Childers, Life Sci. 1999, 64, PL 131.
- [30] O. Prezzavento, F. Gualtieri, A. Marrazzo, M. N. Romanelli, G. Ronsisvalle, E. Teodori, Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem. 2002, 335, 39.
- [31] S. Y. Ablordeppey, M. El-Ashmawy, J. B. Fisher, R. A. Glennon, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 33, 625.
- [32] T. Goyagi, S. Goto, A. Bhardway, V. L. Dawson, P. D. Hurn, J. R. Kirsch, Stroke 2001, 32, 1613.
- [33] F. Sparatore, V. Boido, P. Preziosi, E. Miele, G. De Natale, Farmaco, Ed. Sci. 1969, 24, 587.
- [34] W. A. Reckhow, D. S. Tarbell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 4960.
- [35] M. Ercoli, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Genoa, 1995.
- [36] G. R. Clemo, J. Rudinger, J. Chem. Soc. 1951, 2714.
- [37] V. Boido, A. Sparatore, Farmaco, Ed. Sci. 1982, 37, 63.
- [38] V. Boido, A. Boido, C. Boido-Canu, F. Sparatore, Farmaco, Ed. Sci. 1979, 34, 673.

Received August 21, 2003